

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUFTHANSA TECHNIK AG,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
TALES AVIONICS, INC.,  
Respondent.

Case No. 8:22-mc-00034-JVS-KES

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION OF U.S.  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings and all the records and files herein, along with the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 95). No objections to the Report and Recommendation were filed, and the deadline for filing such objections has passed. The Court accepts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Lufthansa's application (Dkt. 45) is granted in part and denied in part;
2. Lufthansa is authorized to issue the subpoena modified by the Court

1 and attached to the R&R, with a 60-day deadline for compliance<sup>1</sup>;  
2 3. Lufthansa and Thales should each bear 50% of the reasonable costs of  
3 complying with the subpoena; and  
4 4. determining the amount of Thales' reasonable costs in complying with  
5 the subpoena is referred back to the Magistrate Judge to decide as a  
6 non-dispositive, pretrial matter under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

7  
8  
9 DATED: August 29, 2024

10   
11 JAMES V. SELNA  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> This deadline does not prevent the parties from stipulating to reasonable  
28 extensions of time.